



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,976	04/28/2006	Thomas Rueckle	283829US0PCT	9518
22850 7590 04/19/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER HAYLIN, ROBERT H				
ART UNIT 1626		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 04/19/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,976	RUECKLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT HAVLIN	1626	

All Participants:

(1) ROBERT HAVLIN.

(2) Daniel Pereira.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e) rejection in Final Office Action dated 4/28/09

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Hasegawa et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In response to Applicant's filed appeal brief, the Examiner contacted applicant to inquire whether amending the claims to provide for "unsubstituted" elements in the claims would be an acceptable means of resolving the 102(e) rejection. Applicant later indicated that this was acceptable and planned to file an RCE with amendments to the claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert Havlin/
Examiner, Art Unit 1626

Status of Application: after final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)